



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Mergers & Acquisitions 2018

12th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into mergers and acquisitions

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma
Advokatfirman Törngren Magnell
Alexander & Partner
Ashurst Hong Kong
Astrea
Atanaskovic Hartnell
Bär & Karrer Ltd.
BBA
Bech-Bruun
Bonn Steichen & Partners
Corpus Legal Practitioners
Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas
Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska
Attorneys at Law
Dittmar & Indrenius
E & G Economides LLC
ENSafrica
Ferraiuoli LLC

G. Elias & Co.
Gjika & Associates Attorneys at Law
HAVEL & PARTNERS s.r.o.
Houthoff
Indrawan Darsyah Santoso
Maples and Calder
Matheson
Mehrteab Leul & Associates
Law Office
MJM Limited
Motta Fernandes Advogados
Nader, Hayaux & Goebel
Nishimura & Asahi
Nobles
Oppenheim Law Firm
Popovici Nițu Stoica & Asociații
Ramón y Cajal Abogados
SBH Law Office

Schoenherr
SEUM Law
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher
& Flom LLP
Škubla & Partneri s.r.o.
Slaughter and May
SZA Schilling, Zutt & Anschutz
Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH
Ughi e Nunziante Studio Legale
VCI Legal
Villey Girard Grolleaud
Vukić and Partners
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz
WBW Weremczuk Bobeł
& Partners
Attorneys at Law
WH Partners
Zhong Lun Law Firm



global legal group

Contributing Editors

Scott Hopkins and Lorenzo Corte, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP

Sales Director

Florjan Osmani

Account Director

Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager

Toni Hayward

Editor

Nicholas Catlin

Senior Editors

Suzie Levy
Caroline Collingwood

Chief Operating Officer

Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor

Alan Falach

Publisher

Rory Smith

Published by

Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design

F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source

iStockphoto

Printed by

Ashford Colour Press Ltd
March 2018

Copyright © 2018
Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-911367-97-0

ISSN 1752-3362

Strategic Partners



General Chapters:

1	Private Equity and Public Bids: UK Developments in 2017 – Scott Hopkins & Richard Youle, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP	1
2	Global M&A Trends in 2018 – Lorenzo Corte & Denis Klimentchenko, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP	4
3	For Corporate Litigation, Delaware is Still the First State – Adam O. Emmerich & Trevor S. Norwitz, Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	7

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

4	Albania	Gjika & Associates Attorneys at Law: Gjergji Gjika & Evis Jani	11
5	Australia	Atanaskovic Hartnell: Jon Skene & Lawson Jepps	18
6	Austria	Schoenherr: Christian Herbst & Sascha Hödl	25
7	Belarus	SBH Law Office: Alexander Bondar & Elena Selivanova	35
8	Belgium	Astrea: Steven De Schrijver	42
9	Bermuda	MJM Limited: Peter Martin & Brian Holdipp	53
10	Brazil	Motta Fernandes Advogados: Henrique de Rezende Vergara & Cecilia Vidigal Monteiro de Barros	59
11	British Virgin Islands	Maples and Calder: Richard May & Matthew Gilbert	66
12	Bulgaria	Schoenherr (in cooperation with Advokatsko druzhestvo Stoyanov & Tsekova): Ilko Stoyanov & Katerina Kaloyanova	72
13	Cayman Islands	Maples and Calder: Nick Evans & Suzanne Correy	81
14	China	Zhong Lun Law Firm: Lefan Gong	87
15	Croatia	Law firm Vukić and Partners: Zoran Vukić & Ana Pehar	94
16	Cyprus	E & G Economides LLC: Marinella Kilikitas & George Economides	101
17	Czech Republic	HAVEL & PARTNERS s.r.o.: Václav Audes & Jan Frey	107
18	Denmark	Bech-Bruun: Steen Jensen & David Moalem	114
19	Ethiopia	Mehrteab Leul & Associates Law Office: Mehrteab Leul Kokeb & Getu Shiferaw Deme	120
20	Finland	Dittmar & Indrenius: Anders Carlberg & Jan Ollila	126
21	France	Villey Girard Grolleaud: Frédéric Grillier & Daniel Villey	133
22	Germany	SZA Schilling, Zutt & Anschutz Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH: Dr. Marc Löbke & Dr. Stephan Harbarth, LL.M. (Yale)	139
23	Hong Kong	Ashurst Hong Kong: Joshua Cole & Chin Yeoh	146
24	Hungary	Oppenheim Law Firm: József Bulcsú Fenyvesi	152
25	Iceland	BBA: Baldvin Björn Haraldsson & Stefán Reykjálín	158
26	India	Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas: Nivedita Rao & Anand Jayachandran	164
27	Indonesia	Indrawan Darsyah Santoso: Eric Pratama Santoso & Barli Darsyah	172
28	Ireland	Matheson: Fergus A. Bolster & Brian McCloskey	178
29	Italy	Ughi e Nunzianta Studio Legale: Fiorella Alvino & Fabio Liguori	187
30	Japan	Nishimura & Asahi: Tomohiro Takagi & Tomonori Maezawa	193
31	Korea	SEUM Law: Steve Kim & Seonho Kim	202
32	Luxembourg	Bonn Steichen & Partners: Pierre-Alexandre Degehet	210
33	Macedonia	Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at Law: Emilija Kelesoska Šoljakovska & Ljupco Cvetkovski	216
34	Malta	WH Partners: James Scicluna & Rachel Vella Baldacchino	223
35	Mexico	Nader, Hayaux & Goebel: Yves Hayaux-du-Tilly Laborde & Eduardo Villanueva Ortíz	229
36	Montenegro	Moravčević Vojnović and Partners in cooperation with Schoenherr: Slaven Moravčević & Miloš Laković	235
37	Netherlands	Houthoff: Alexander J. Kaarls & Willem J.T. Liedenbaum	242
38	Nigeria	G. Elias & Co.: Obianuju Ifebunandu & Yemisi Falade	250

Continued Overleaf ➡

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.



Country Question and Answer Chapters:

39	Norway	Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma: Ole Kristian Aabø-Evensen & Gard A. Skogstrøm	257
40	Poland	WBW Weremczuk Bobel & Partners Attorneys at Law: Łukasz Bobel	271
41	Puerto Rico	Ferraiuoli LLC: Fernando J. Rovira-Rullán & Yarot T. Lafontaine-Torres	278
42	Romania	Popovici Nițu Stoica & Asociații: Alexandra Niculae	285
43	Saudi Arabia	Alexander & Partner: Dr. Nicolas Bremer	290
44	Serbia	Moravčević Vojnović and Partners in cooperation with Schoenherr: Matija Vojnović & Vojimir Kurtić	297
45	Slovakia	Škubla & Partneri s.r.o.: Martin Fábry & Marián Šulík	305
46	Slovenia	Schoenherr: Vid Kobe & Marko Prušnik	312
47	South Africa	ENSafrica: Professor Michael Katz & Matthew Morrison	323
48	Spain	Ramón y Cajal Abogados: Guillermo Muñoz-Alonso & Álvaro Bertrán Farga	332
49	Sweden	Advokatfirman Törngren Magnell: Johan Wigh & Viktor Olsson	338
50	Switzerland	Bär & Karrer Ltd.: Dr. Mariel Hoch	344
51	Ukraine	Nobles: Volodymyr Yakubovskyy & Tatiana Iurkovska	351
52	United Arab Emirates	Alexander & Partner: Dr. Nicolas Bremer	359
53	United Kingdom	Slaughter and May: William Underhill	367
54	USA	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP: Ann Beth Stebbins & Thomas H. Kennedy	374
55	Vietnam	VCI Legal: Tuan A. Phung & Kent A. Wong	391
56	Zambia	Corpus Legal Practitioners: Sharon Sakuwaha	397

Puerto Rico

Fernando J. Rovira-Rullán



Ferraiuoli LLC

Yarot T. Lafontaine-Torres



1 Relevant Authorities and Legislation

1.1 What regulates M&A?

The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (“Puerto Rico”) is an unincorporated territory of the United States of America (“United States”) with a republican government system. The official currency of Puerto Rico is the United States dollar. Puerto Rico enjoys United States constitutional, legal, financial and regulatory protection, including for intellectual property, securities (SEC, FINRA) and Homeland Security matters. Its banking system is regulated under United States laws and regulations, bank deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Company, and our geographic access points are protected by the United States Customs and Border Patrol. Puerto Rico has its own United States District Court and its decisions are subject to appeal to the First Circuit Court of Appeals in Boston, Massachusetts and then to the United States Supreme Court.

The Puerto Rico General Corporations Act of 2009 (the “General Corporations Act”) is modelled on the Delaware General Corporations Act (“DGCL”), and is the principal and most comprehensive statute in connection with the constitution, governance, merger, acquisition and dissolution of corporate entities in Puerto Rico. The Puerto Rico Supreme Court has stated that judicial decisions from Delaware courts in connection with the interpretation of the Delaware General Corporations Act are highly persuasive and illustrative before Puerto Rico courts.

Besides the General Corporations Act, the following local statutes are generally applicable to merger and acquisition transactions:

- The Puerto Rico Civil Code (the “Civil Code”) regulates matters related to: the creation, perfection, interpretation and validity of contracts; the rights and obligations of the parties thereto; and the remedies available to the parties in the event of a breach.
- The Puerto Rico Commercial Code (the “Commercial Code”) contains a statute which is similar to Article 6 of the Uniform Commercial Code (Bulk Sales). The Commercial Code requires that parties to an asset purchase transaction prepare a complete inventory of the assets being sold and that the seller’s creditors be notified of the sale and the terms of the sale prior to the closing of the transaction.
- The Puerto Rico Commercial Transactions Act (modelled after the Uniform Commercial Code): The General Corporations Act states that the sale or transfer of securities shall be governed by the provisions of Chapter 8 of the Puerto Rico Commercial Transactions Act.

- The Puerto Rico Monopoly Act governs the antitrust considerations that could arise in connection with the purchase or sale of an ongoing concern.
- Act No. 80 of 1976 (Employee Severance): Pursuant to Act No. 80 of 1976, employees who are discharged without just cause have a right to severance pay based on the length of their employment. If the purchaser does not retain the employees of the target company, the purchaser must retain an amount sufficient to satisfy the employees’ severance payments from the purchase price.
- The Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code: On the tax front, Puerto Rico enjoys fiscal autonomy. The United States Internal Revenue Code excludes Puerto Rican entities from the definition of “United States Person” and treats them as foreign entities. Therefore, Puerto Rican entities and entities organised outside the United States doing business in Puerto Rico are not subject to United States income tax, except to the extent that they (a) engage in trade or business within the United States, or (b) derive certain categories of investment income from United States sources.

1.2 Are there different rules for different types of company?

Yes. The main difference would be between Puerto Rican publicly traded companies and private companies. As discussed below, distinct rules may apply for transactions involving: (a) Publicly Traded Companies; (b) Professional Service Corporations; (c) Insurance Companies; and (d) Banks.

- (a) If the target company is one of the few publicly traded companies registered under the Exchange Act (as of January 2018, only five companies headquartered in Puerto Rico are publicly traded), then the purchaser must comply with applicable United States federal securities laws and regulations.
- (b) Similar to Delaware, Professional Service Corporations can only merge or consolidate with other Professional Service Corporations that provide the same services for which they were organised under the General Corporations Act.
- (c) The following rules apply, among others, to insurance companies organised under the Puerto Rico Insurance Code: (1) the plan for the merger or consolidation and the proposed agreement between the insurers must be submitted and approved by the Puerto Rico Insurance Commissioner in advance of the merger or consolidation; and (2) insurance companies can only merge with other insurance companies of the same classification.
- (d) Although fairly similar to the merger requirements under the General Corporations Act, the Puerto Rico Bank Act contains particular requirements for bank merger or consolidation

transactions, including the following: (1) the merger or consolidation transaction has to be approved by two-thirds of the outstanding shares entitled to vote; and (2) the Commissioner of Financial Institutions of Puerto Rico has to evaluate and approve the merger or consolidation.

1.3 Are there special rules for foreign buyers?

The Foreign Investment and National Security Act of 2007 and the Exon-Florio Amendment to the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 apply to Puerto Rico. The aforementioned legislation authorises the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States to investigate and paralyse transactions that may threaten the national security of the United States.

Additionally, foreign buyers interested in acquiring entities holding broadcast, telecommunications, and other licences issued by the Federal Communications Commission are limited to the Federal Communications Act of 1934, which limits foreign buyers from directly owning more than 20% of stock from said entities.

1.4 Are there any special sector-related rules?

Please refer to question 1.2 above as to the special rules applicable to insurance companies and banks. Other industries, such as hotels and casinos, and cooperatives, are subject to industry-specific rules, regulations and approvals.

1.5 What are the principal sources of liability?

The parties to a merger or acquisition agreement could be subject to the following sources of liability:

- The agreement's own indemnification provisions in connection with: (1) false or misleading representations and warranties; and (2) breach of contract.
- The Supreme Court of Puerto Rico has ruled that a party may be liable for certain damages suffered by the other party, such as out-of-pocket expenses, if it is negligent in the termination of the negotiations. The Supreme Court of Puerto Rico also determined that a seller who has terminated ongoing negotiations upon learning of the tax implications of the contemplated transaction is liable for the expenses incurred by the prospective purchaser in connection with the negotiations.

Furthermore, a purchaser could be subject to substantial penalties that accrue on a daily basis, if the proposed transaction triggers a filing under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act and said purchaser does not comply with said Act's provisions.

2 Mechanics of Acquisition

2.1 What alternative means of acquisition are there?

The three principal means for acquiring a private company in Puerto Rico are: (a) a statutory merger; (b) a share or ownership interest purchase; or (c) an asset purchase. A purchaser could perform a straight share or asset purchase, or it could perform a triangular merger in order to limit acquisition risks.

A stock purchase requires the consent of the selling shareholders and the Board of Directors of the purchaser. The sale of substantially all of the assets of a target company requires the approval of its Board of Directors and its shareholders. Merger transactions typically require the approval of the Boards of Directors of the constituent companies and a vote from the shareholders of the constituent companies. If the

purchaser holds at least 90% of the target company's shares, it could perform a "short-form merger" which does not require the approval of the target company's Board of Directors or the shareholders holding the remaining 10% of the target company's shares.

In many cases, the purchaser organises a new company to undertake the stock or asset purchase. In a triangular merger, the target company is merged into the new company, resulting in the survival of the new company as a subsidiary of the purchaser. On the other hand, the target company could be the surviving entity when its contracts, permits, licences, tax treatment or other attributes cannot be legally transferred to the new company. In an asset purchase, the purpose of the new company is to limit the potential liability that may be assumed by the acquisition of certain assets.

2.2 What advisers do the parties need?

The main advisers in merger and acquisition transactions are typically legal and financial advisers. Legal advisers are typically in charge of performing due diligence investigations of the target company regarding corporate, labour, litigation, tax, intellectual property, and other matters, structuring the transaction from a legal perspective and drafting and/or negotiating the closing documents.

2.3 How long does it take?

The duration of a transaction from the initial negotiations to the actual closing will depend on its size, industry and structure. A typical transaction could begin with private discussions between the parties in connection with the general terms of a potential agreement. In the event that the parties are interested in proceeding with the negotiations, they will execute a letter of intent and a Non-Disclosure Agreement, which could take any amount of time, from a few days to several weeks. Subsequently, the parties begin the due diligence process (the duration of which could span from one to six months). During the course of the due diligence process, the parties will negotiate the transaction documents, which may take one or two months at a minimum, three to six months on average, and up to one year or longer for very large and complex transactions.

2.4 What are the main hurdles?

The main hurdle would be the negotiation process with the target company. This is particularly common in Puerto Rico, since most companies are family or closely-held businesses, and if they are interested in selling, they will most likely have a price at which to close the deal; and if the said price is not met, the transaction could fail. Additional hurdles to a transaction could be potential antitrust issues and obtaining applicable local government consents and permits.

2.5 How much flexibility is there over deal terms and price?

Pursuant to the Civil Code, the parties to any contract may establish the terms and conditions which they deem advisable, provided that they do not contravene the law, morals or public order.

2.6 What differences are there between offering cash and other consideration?

The main difference between offering cash or other types of consideration, such as securities, would be the availability of appraisal rights to dissenting shareholders. In Puerto Rico, as in

Delaware, if the consideration offered in a merger transaction is cash, the shareholders who do not approve the transaction and comply with other statutory requirements are entitled to appraisal rights. However, dissenting shareholders do not have appraisal rights if: (a) the target company shares are listed on a national stock exchange; (b) the target company has over 2,000 shareholders; or (c) the target company's shareholders receive shares of the acquiring company as consideration for their shares.

2.7 Do the same terms have to be offered to all shareholders?

There is no statutory requirement that prevents a purchaser from offering different terms to a particular shareholder (e.g. control premiums) when acquiring a private company.

2.8 Are there obligations to purchase other classes of target securities?

There is no statutory requirement that would require a purchaser to acquire other classes of securities issued by the target company. Notwithstanding, a target company could have other classes of securities that may include "tag-along" rights, thus requiring that the purchaser acquires all or a portion of such other classes of securities together with the securities that the purchaser originally intended to acquire.

2.9 Are there any limits on agreeing terms with employees?

Puerto Rico labour laws require that when a purchaser acquires an ongoing business and retains its employees, the purchaser must credit the employees' seniority (i.e. period of employment) with the target company for the purposes of computing their severance payment in the event of a future dismissal.

2.10 What role do employees, pension trustees and other stakeholders play?

If there is no provision to the contrary in a collective bargaining agreement, sellers and buyers are not required to consult with or obtain the approval of their respective employees regarding any potential acquisition transaction. Generally, the same will be the case with respect to pension trustees and other stakeholders.

2.11 What documentation is needed?

All transactions generally require a letter of intent, a Non-Disclosure Agreement, and a definitive purchase or merger agreement. In an asset purchase transaction, each asset must be transferred pursuant to either a bill of sale, deed of purchase and sale or assignment agreement.

2.12 Are there any special disclosure requirements?

There are no statutory requirements regarding special disclosures other than the disclosure requirements applicable to publicly traded companies under federal securities laws and regulations.

2.13 What are the key costs?

The principal costs are the legal and financial fees and any applicable regulatory fees, such as securities or Hart-Scott-Rodino filing fees. In an asset purchase transaction that involves the acquisition of real property, one of the key costs will be the fees associated with the recording of the change of ownership in the Puerto Rico Registry of Property.

2.14 What consents are needed?

The consents that may be required for a transaction will depend on the transaction's particular circumstances. Typically, a transaction may need consents from third parties and local government agencies. If a transaction involves a publicly traded company, certain consents from the Securities Exchange Commission are required. If the transaction triggers a Hart-Scott-Rodino filing, the transaction will be subject to a "waiting period" while the Federal Trade Commission and the Federal Department of Justice reviews the filing.

2.15 What levels of approval or acceptance are needed?

A stock purchase requires the approval of the selling shareholders and the approval of the purchaser's Board of Directors.

A sale of substantially all of the assets requires the approval of the majority of the shareholders, the Board of Directors of the target, and the Board of Directors of the purchaser.

A merger requires the approval of the Board of Directors and the majority of the shares of the target company. If the purchaser holds at least 90% of the shares with voting rights, the company may perform a "short-form" merger which shall only require the approval of the Board of Directors of the purchaser.

2.16 When does cash consideration need to be committed and available?

There is no legal requirement to have the cash consideration committed and available prior to the closing of the transaction. It is common for target companies to require proof of availability of funds or access to financing sources.

3 Friendly or Hostile

3.1 Is there a choice?

Due to the private nature of companies in Puerto Rico, transactions in Puerto Rico are friendly in nature. Hostile acquisitions are generally possible in the context of publicly traded companies and, as previously mentioned, Puerto Rico only has a handful of publicly traded companies.

3.2 Are there rules about an approach to the target?

There is no legal requirement or limitation as to the manner to approach a target company. Nonetheless, similarly to the United States, after the initial approach by a potential purchaser, the parties will execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement before the commencement of the due diligence process.

3.3 How relevant is the target board?

The Board of Directors of a target company is relevant to the extent that their approval is required to undertake a transaction.

3.4 Does the choice affect process?

Please refer to question 3.1.

4 Information

4.1 What information is available to a buyer?

Potential purchasers of private companies in Puerto Rico have access to the following public information sources:

- (a) Corporate records filed with the Puerto Rico Department of State:
 - (i) Certificate of Incorporation.
 - (ii) Annual reports containing certain information about the officers and directors and financial statements.
 - (iii) Good-Standing Certificate.
- (b) UCC Financing Statements.
- (c) Pending litigation.

4.2 Is negotiation confidential and is access restricted?

Negotiations are not confidential by virtue of statute; however, access to information can be restricted pursuant to a Non-Disclosure Agreement.

4.3 When is an announcement required and what will become public?

There is no local statutory requirement to make a public announcement of a transaction. However, for a merger or consolidation transaction to be effective, the merger or consolidation agreement must be filed with the Corporation's Registry of the Puerto Rico Department of State, which is public. *In lieu* of filing the said agreements, a certificate of merger may be filed containing very limited information as set forth in the General Corporations Act.

4.4 What if the information is wrong or changes?

Typically, sellers have the obligation to inform purchasers if representations made in the purchase agreement are incorrect or change before the closing. It is also common practice in Puerto Rico to include "material adverse changes" provisions. In both instances, the purchaser generally has the right to terminate the agreement, and in some cases, it may have the right to close and either waive the misrepresentation or file a post-closing indemnity claim against the seller.

5 Stakebuilding

5.1 Can shares be bought outside the offer process?

As previously discussed, given that the majority of companies in Puerto Rico are private, buying shares outside the offer process is

not a viable alternative. In the unlikely scenario that the company has no restrictions on the transfer of its shares, such as a right of first refusal, then it could be possible for a buyer to acquire a stake in a private company outside the offer process.

5.2 Can derivatives be bought outside the offer process?

Similarly to question 5.1, due to the private nature of the vast majority of Puerto Rico companies, derivatives are not available. On the other hand, if the target is one of the few local companies that are publicly traded, and there are derivative securities available for purchase, they may be bought outside the offer process.

5.3 What are the disclosure triggers for shares and derivatives stakebuilding before the offer and during the offer period?

There are no statutory disclosure triggers applicable to private companies in Puerto Rico. Notwithstanding, as discussed in question 1.2, insurance companies and banks contemplating a merger transaction must disclose their plans and obtain the approval of the Puerto Rico Commissioner of Insurance and the Puerto Rico Commissioner of Financial Institutions, respectively.

Notwithstanding, if a buyer is building a stake in one of the few local companies that are publicly traded, then it must comply with Section 13(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934, which requires the filing of a statement before the Securities Exchange Commission (Form 13-D) if a person acquires a beneficial ownership of greater than 5% of a class of registered equity securities. Pursuant to Rule 13d-3(a), beneficial ownership of a class of registered equity securities is imputed to a person who has the right (e.g. call options, convertible notes) to acquire such equity securities within 60 days.

5.4 What are the limitations and consequences?

Please refer to question 5.1.

6 Deal Protection

6.1 Are break fees available?

Yes, break fees can be available in Puerto Rico if they are agreed upon between the parties, and they are commonly used in more complex transactions. Break fee provisions provide purchasers with a certain amount of compensation, payable by the target company if the transaction is not completed due to the target company withdrawing from the transaction or accepting a competing offer from a third party. Additionally, the agreement may provide for "reverse break fees", pursuant to which the purchaser is obligated to provide a monetary payout to the target if the transaction is not completed.

6.2 Can the target agree not to shop the company or its assets?

Yes, target companies may agree to a "No-Shop" or exclusivity provision and they are, in fact, fairly common in merger and acquisition transactions in Puerto Rico. The purpose of "No-Shop" provisions is to prevent a target company from seeking other potential buyers after they have agreed to be acquired by the acquiring company. A typical "No-Shop" provision prohibits a

target company from engaging in the solicitation of other acquisition offers, and from providing information or engaging in discussions with other potential buyers.

6.3 Can the target agree to issue shares or sell assets?

There is no statutory limitation that prohibits or restricts a target company from issuing shares or selling assets. Nonetheless, a potential acquirer will require that the target company manage the company in the “ordinary course of business” and that it will not issue shares and sell assets during the course of the negotiations.

6.4 What commitments are available to tie up a deal?

The parties could enter into a binding letter of intent, making the obligation to close mandatory if certain conditions precedent are met. Failure to close as required in the binding letter of intent may result in monetary damages and the claimant could demand specific performance.

7 Bidder Protection

7.1 What deal conditions are permitted and is their invocation restricted?

As discussed in question 2.5 above, the parties have total flexibility in the negotiation of the terms and conditions that will be applicable to the transaction, including objective conditions imposed by a bidder in connection with its offer. Among the most common terms and conditions included in acquisition agreements as conditions precedent to closing are the following: no material adverse change or effect; approval from the Board of Directors and/or shareholders; financing availability; due diligence; and third-party and regulatory consents.

7.2 What control does the bidder have over the target during the process?

Unless contractually agreed to the contrary, the purchaser does not generally have any sort of control over the target company during the negotiation process. It is common practice to include covenants that require the target company’s Board of Directors and management to continue to operate in the “ordinary course of business” after the signing of the agreement and until the closing of the transaction.

7.3 When does control pass to the bidder?

In general, the purchaser gains control when it acquires a majority stake in the target company.

7.4 How can the bidder get 100% control?

Please refer to question 7.3.

8 Target Defences

8.1 Does the board of the target have to publicise discussions?

There is no statutory requirement that requires the Board of Directors to inform the target company’s shareholders that an offer

to buy the company has been received. Nonetheless, fiduciary duties towards the company and to other shareholders (particularly minority shareholders that may not be represented in the Board of Directors) come into play when the Board of Directors receives an offer for the purchase of the company.

8.2 What can the target do to resist change of control?

Due to the private nature of most Puerto Rican companies, the final decision to sell and relinquish control of the company rests on the target company’s shareholders. If the target company shareholders do not want to sell the company, there is little that the purchaser can do to gain control.

8.3 Is it a fair fight?

As mentioned in question 8.2 above, the final decision to sell a company belongs to the shareholders.

9 Other Useful Facts

9.1 What are the major influences on the success of an acquisition?

Some of the major factors that could influence the success of an acquisition include: (a) the purchase price and payment terms; (b) obtaining the necessary approvals from the stakeholders, third parties and regulators (if applicable); (c) tax considerations; and (d) pre-existing business/personal relationships between the parties.

9.2 What happens if it fails?

Generally, there are no consequences to the parties if the transaction fails besides the costs incurred by them in the negotiation process, which are mostly legal and financial advisers’ fees. Notwithstanding the foregoing, as discussed in question 6.1, if the transaction fails due to the fact that one of the parties desists from completing the transaction and a break fee was agreed upon, then the party responsible would need to pay the applicable fee. Additionally, if the transaction fails due to a breach of a representation or warranty, the defaulting party could be liable for indemnity payments and/or it could be ordered to restate costs and other damages to the other party in a breach-of-contract action.

10 Updates

10.1 Please provide a summary of any relevant new law or practices in M&A in your jurisdiction.

For decades, the Government of Puerto Rico has offered various types of tax incentives to businesses within certain industries that establish operations in Puerto Rico. Through these incentives, Puerto Rico provides an ideal business environment for new businesses that are interested in relocating their operations to the Island and existing businesses that are expanding to new markets and client bases.

In 2012, the Government of Puerto Rico enacted a number of statutes to boost the economic development of the Island. The main objective of these statutes was to attract foreign professionals and capital. One of the most used and attractive incentives Acts is Act

No. 22 of January 17, 2012, known as the “Act to Incentivise the Transfer of Investor Individuals to Puerto Rico” (“[Act 22](#)”), which is aimed at attracting persons who have not been residents of the Island to relocate and establish their residence in Puerto Rico.

As a result of certain amendments enacted in 2014, in order for an individual to be eligible for the benefits under Act 22, the person (i) cannot have been a resident of Puerto Rico at any time during the six years preceding January 2012 (the effective date of Act 22), and (ii) the person must become a resident of Puerto Rico on or before December 31, 2035, in accordance with the requirements established in the Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code of 2011, as amended (the “[PR Code](#)”). Prior to this amendment, the required time period was 15 years.

The main benefits under Act 22 are: (i) 0% Puerto Rico taxes on all Puerto Rican source interest and dividend income; and (ii) 0% Puerto Rico capital gains tax on income attributable to the increase in the value of securities purchased and occurring after establishing residence in Puerto Rico. Furthermore, pursuant to the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “[US Code](#)”), Puerto Rico residents are not required to pay any federal taxes on Puerto Rico source income. Therefore, individuals that obtain a tax grant under Act 22 and only receive Puerto Rico source passive income (i.e. dividends, interest and capital gains) will not have the obligation to pay any federal taxes on the said income.

The incentives offered to investors under Act 22 have been a catalyst for M&A activity in Puerto Rico, as a large group of wealthy foreign investors (including many from the mainland United States) have made substantial investments in the Island in order to create Puerto Rico source equity and reap the benefits provided by Act 22.

Furthermore, in 2014, the Governor of Puerto Rico signed Act No. 185 of November 12, 2014, known as the “Private Equity Funds Act” (“[Act 185](#)”), which establishes the framework for the creation and taxation of (i) Puerto Rico Private Equity Funds, and (ii) Private Equity Funds (collectively referred to as a “[Fund](#)” or “[Funds](#)”). Act 185 was enacted in order to provide an entity structure that could serve as a financial alternative to private Puerto Rican companies that do not have access to public capital markets, such as start-ups and companies in financial distress. To incentivise the establishment of Funds in the Island, the Act provides preferential tax treatment to (i) the Funds, (ii) the Fund’s Accredited Investors, (iii) the Fund’s General Partner, and (iv) the Fund’s Registered Investment Adviser. For example, Funds can be structured as disregarded entities for federal taxation and as a partnership for Puerto Rico tax purposes, effectively eliminating any entity level taxation. Furthermore, Accredited Investors are only subject to a 10% fixed tax rate on dividends, interests, and capital gains. A Fund’s General Partner and the Registered Investment Adviser are subject to a 5% fixed tax rate on dividend and interest income and a 2.5% fixed tax rate on capital gains. In addition, Accredited Investors that invest in a “Puerto Rico Private Equity Fund” (as defined in Act 185) may deduct up to 60% of the initial investment within a maximum period of 15 years, provided that the maximum deduction does not exceed 30% of the investor’s net income prior to the deduction. On the other hand, Accredited Investors who invest in a “Private Equity Fund” (as defined in Act 185) may deduct up to 30% of the initial investment within a maximum period of 10 years, provided that the maximum deduction does not exceed 15% of the investor’s net income prior to the deduction.


Fernando J. Rovira-Rullán

Ferraiuoli LLC
221 Ponce de León Avenue
5th Floor, Hato Rey
San Juan, 00917
Puerto Rico

Tel: +1 787 766 7000
Email: frovira@ferraiuoli.com
URL: www.ferraiuoli.com

Mr. Rovira-Rullán is a Co-Founder, Capital Member and Chair of Ferraiuoli LLC's Corporate and Real Estate Department.

Mr. Rovira-Rullán is admitted to practise in Puerto Rico and New York. His principal areas of practice include general corporate law, mergers & acquisitions (with extensive local and cross-border experience), commercial lending, private equity, and intellectual property.

Since 2010, Mr. Rovira-Rullán has been rated by *Chambers & Partners* in its Global and Latin America editions as a Leader in Corporate and Commercial areas of practice. He serves as an adjunct professor at the University of Puerto Rico School of Law, where for more than 15 years he has offered advanced courses such as Business Organisation and Mergers & Acquisitions.


Yarot T. Lafontaine-Torres

Ferraiuoli LLC
221 Ponce de León Avenue
5th Floor, Hato Rey
San Juan, 00917
Puerto Rico

Tel: +1 787 766 7000
Email: [ylafontaine@ferraiuoli.com](mailto:y Lafontaine@ferraiuoli.com)
URL: www.ferraiuoli.com

Mr. Lafontaine-Torres is a Senior Associate in the Corporate Department of Ferraiuoli LLC. His main practice areas include mergers & acquisitions, private equity fund formation, investment adviser regulation, securities and financial institutions regulation, corporate governance and business organisations.

Prior to joining Ferraiuoli, Mr. Lafontaine-Torres was a Financial Analyst at Operating Partners Co., a San Juan-based firm specialising in distressed asset management, from 2008 to 2010; he also worked as an Analyst in the investment bank Lehman Brothers Inc. during the summer of 2008.

Mr. Lafontaine-Torres obtained his *Juris Doctor* degree (*magna cum laude*) from the University of Puerto Rico School of Law. During his legal studies, Mr. Lafontaine-Torres was the Editor-in-Chief of the third volume of the *University of Puerto Rico Business Law Journal*.

Ferraiuoli LLC

Looking Forward

Ferraiuoli LLC is one of the top full-service corporate law firms in Puerto Rico. The firm provides comprehensive legal advice to industry-leading private and publicly owned companies on corporate, mergers & acquisitions, intellectual property, labour and employment, energy and land use, litigation, tax and other regulatory matters.

Ferraiuoli has received international recognition in the legal field by *Chambers & Partners*, a London-based legal ranking firm that publishes, on an annual basis, the leading directories of the legal profession identifying the world's top lawyers and law firms. In its Latin America and Global editions, *Chambers* has consistently ranked Ferraiuoli as a leader in Corporate and Commercial practice areas, and several of its experienced corporate attorneys are classed as "Leaders in their Field" by the publication. Ferraiuoli has been further honoured as one of Puerto Rico's outstanding firms by *Chambers & Partners*, having been shortlisted as one of the candidates for Puerto Rico's "Law Firm of the Year" since 2011.

Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Cybersecurity
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Investment Funds
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.com